



### Color Propagation in Nuclei JLAB12, EIC, RHIC, LHC

Abhijit Majumder Wayne State University

QCD Frontier workshop, JLAB Oct. 21-22, 2013

### outline

A universal formalism for Jets in DIS and Heavy-Ion collisions

Jets as a window on high Q<sup>2</sup> structure of nucleon and QGP

 ${\it \oslash}$  Change in sub-structure with T and  $\mu$ 

Monte-Carlo ``improvements"

Connections with Lattice.

#### The base set-up



$$d\sigma = \int dx \ G(x) \ d\hat{\sigma} \ \tilde{J}$$
$$\tilde{J} = J_{vac} + \int dL f(\hat{q} \dots, L, Q^2) \times J_{vac}$$

# Same factorized set up in heavy-ion collisions



$$d\sigma = \int dx_a dx_b \ G(x_a) \ G(x_b) \ d\hat{\sigma} \ \tilde{J}$$
$$\tilde{J} = J_{vac} + \int dL f(\hat{q} \dots, L, Q^2) \times J_{vac}$$

#### We will set up the formalism in A-DIS and then extend it to HIC

Proton 
$$P = (P^+, P^-, \mathbf{P}_{\perp}) = (\frac{2}{x_B\sqrt{2}}, 0, \mathbf{0})$$

in coming quark 
$$p \equiv (p^+, p^-, p_\perp) = (\frac{Q}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{k_\perp^2}{2p^+}, \mathbf{k}_\perp)$$

photon 
$$q \equiv (q^+, q^-, \mathbf{0}) = (\frac{Q}{\sqrt{2}}, -\frac{Q}{\sqrt{2}}, \mathbf{0})$$

outgoing quark  $p_f = (0, q^-, \mathbf{k}_\perp)$ 

#### How is a single hard parton modified

 $d\sigma$ 

 $\overline{dk_{\perp}^2}$ 





#### Struck quark has,

energy ~ Q and virtuality ~  $\lambda Q$ hence, gluons have  $k_{\perp} \sim \lambda Q$ ,  $k^+ \sim \lambda^2 Q$ could also have  $k^- \sim \lambda Q$ <u>Calculate in negative light-cone gauge A<sup>-</sup> = 0</u>



### So what do we get from resumming? $p^0 + p_z$ a) transverse broadening $p^0 - p^0 - p^0 - p^0$



Assuming independent scattering of nucleons gives a diff. equation These cannot be soft, they must have transverse momentum, Glauber gluons.

$$\begin{split} & \underbrace{\frac{\partial f(p_{\perp},t)}{\partial t} = \nabla_{p_{\perp}} \cdot D \cdot \nabla_{p_{\perp}} f(p_{\perp},t)}_{\hat{q}=\frac{p_{\perp}^{2}}{t}=\frac{2\pi^{2}\alpha_{s}C_{R}}{N_{c}^{2}-1} \int d\tilde{t} \langle F^{\mu\alpha}(\tilde{t})v_{\alpha}F^{\beta}_{\mu}(0)v_{\beta} \rangle \end{split}$$

A. Majumder and B. Muller, Phys. Rev. C 77, 054903 (2008)

#### b) Longitudinal drag and diffusion

A close to on shell parton has a 3-D distribution

$$p^+ = \frac{p_\perp^2}{2p^-}$$

$$f(\vec{p}) \equiv \delta^2(p_\perp^2)\delta(p^- - q^- + k^-)$$

Using the same analysis, we get a drag. and diff. term

$$\frac{\partial f(p^-, L^-)}{\partial L^-} = c_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial p^-} + c_2 \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial^2 l^-}$$



#### $c_1$ is dE/dL,

A. Majumder, Phys. Rev. C 80, 031902 (2009)

There are a bunch of medium properties which modify the parton and frag. func.  $\hat{q}$ ,  $\hat{e} = dE/dL$  and  $\hat{f} = dN/dL$ 



Elastic energy loss rate also diffusion rate  $e_2$ 

Gluon radiation is sensitive to all these transport coefficients

#### $\int \frac{d l_{\perp}^2}{l_{\perp}^2} \int \frac{p_h}{p} \frac{d y}{y} P(y) M(\vec{r}, y, l_{\perp}) D\left(\frac{p_h}{p y}\right)$ And a bunch of off diagonal and higher order transport coefficients

#### The single gluon emission kernel



Calculate 1 gluon emission with quark & gluon N-scattering with transverse broadening and elastic loss built in Finally solved analytically, in large Q<sup>2</sup> limit. A. Majumder Phys. Rev. D 85, 014023 (2012)

#### Need to repeat the kernel



What is the relation between subsequent radiations? In the large Q<sup>2</sup> we can argue that there should be ordering of I<sub>T</sub>. if  $\hat{q}L < Q^2$ then  $\frac{dQ^2}{Q^2} \left[ 1 + c_1 \frac{\hat{q}L}{Q^2} \right] \leq \frac{dQ^2}{Q^2} [1 + c_1]$ 

#### However, at lower $Q^2$ , possible anti-ordering

Coherence effects and broadening in medium-induced QCD radiation off a massive q q antenna <u>Néstor Armesto, Hao Ma, Yacine Mehtar-Tani, Carlos A. Salgado, Konrad Tywoniuk</u>

JHEP 1201 (2012) 109

# Analytical calculations always have approximations

$$\frac{\partial D_q^h(z,\mu^2)}{\partial \log(\mu^2)} = \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \int_z^1 \frac{dy}{y} P_{q\to i}(y) D_i^h\left(\frac{z}{y},\mu^2\right)$$

Thus you need a grid in z, q<sup>-</sup>, and  $\zeta$ 

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial D_q^{h^2}(z, M^2; q^-)|_{\zeta_i}^{\zeta_f}}{\partial \log(M^2)} &= \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \int_z^1 \frac{dy}{y} \frac{\tilde{P}_{q \to i}(y)}{M^2} \int_{\zeta_i}^{\zeta_f} d\zeta \frac{2\pi\alpha_s}{N_c} \\ &\times \rho_g(\zeta) \bigg[ 2 - 2\cos\bigg\{ \frac{M^2(\zeta - \zeta_i)}{2q^-y(1-y)} \bigg\} \bigg] \\ &\times \left. D_q^{h^1} \left( \frac{z}{y}, M^2; q^-y \right) \right|_{\zeta}^{\zeta_f} \end{split}$$

Really hard numerically, so far grid in z, q<sup>-</sup>, and in z,ζ

To go beyond this would require a MC Evt. Gen.

A DGLAP formalism requires an upper scale and a lower scale

Upper scale is  $p_T^2$ , same as in vacuum

Lower scale: virtuality of parton on exit

Natural choice  $Q^{2}_{min} = E/L$ 



Realistically, should be done for each path In reality: average kernel over many paths and calculate a mean distance based on the maximum length that the jet can travel in the representative brick

#### Can explain suppressed yield of hadrons in DIS

 $z=E_h/E_y$ 



Data from HERMES at DESY Three different nuclei one  $\hat{q}$  = 0.1GeV<sup>2</sup>/fm Fit one data point in Ne everything else is prediction

 $Q^2 = 3GeV^2$ , v = 16-20 GeV

A. Majumder 2009



#### The v and $Q^2$ dependence





Many approximations made!

 $\tilde{D}(z,Q^2,\nu)\Big|_{\epsilon}^{\zeta_f} \to \tilde{D}(z,Q^2,\nu)\Big|_{\epsilon}^{\zeta_f}$ 

Dihadrons, yet another test of the formalism

Works in DIS with no additional parameters

Works in HIC with no additional parameters

Requires the same non-pert. input a dihadron fragmentation func.







A. Majumder, E. Wang and X.-N. Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 152301 (2007)

#### Medium in HIC described by viscous fluid dynamics

Medium evolves hydro-dynamically as the jet moves through it Fit the q for the initial T in the hydro in central coll.





#### versus reaction plane



A. Majumder and C. Shen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 202301 (2012)

#### Versus reaction plane, versus energy



Reasonable agreement with data Several improvements can be made from this point A. Majumder and C. Shen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 202301 (2012)

### Better hydro, better jet quenching: T<sub>AB</sub> for jets matched with hydro initial conditions





#### Completely consistent predictions for Dihadrons



These are parameter free calculations The near side involves a new non-perturbative object the dihadron fragmentation function



Looks at full jet, so less sensitive to fragmentation

Looks at full jet, so less sensitive to fragmentation

In reality, have to include a detailed model of fragmentation

Looks at full jet, so less sensitive to fragmentation

In reality, have to include a detailed model of fragmentation so not as well defined as few particle observables

Looks at full jet, so less sensitive to fragmentation

In reality, have to include a detailed model of fragmentation so not as well defined as few particle observables

Always an issue with separating the jet from the medium

Looks at full jet, so less sensitive to fragmentation

In reality, have to include a detailed model of fragmentation so not as well defined as few particle observables

Always an issue with separating the jet from the medium

Usual background subtraction, includes jet medium interaction as part of jet

Looks at full jet, so less sensitive to fragmentation

In reality, have to include a detailed model of fragmentation so not as well defined as few particle observables

Always an issue with separating the jet from the medium

Usual background subtraction, includes jet medium interaction as part of jet

Rigorously calculating this requires more non-perturbative transport coefficients

#### Main problem: Introducing distance into a DGLAP shower

No space-time in the usual Monte-Carlo showers



 $\delta z = z - z'$ 

z and z' position of emission in amplitude and c.c.  $\int_{0}^{\infty} d^{4}\bar{z} \exp\left[i(\delta q)\bar{z}\right] \qquad \int d^{4}\delta z \exp\left[i\delta z(l+l_{q}-q)\right]$ Sq is the uncertainty in q, We obtain a Wigner transform like formalism with  $\delta q^{+}$  and  $z^{-}$ 

A. Majumder, Phys. Rev. C 88, 014909 (2013)

#### Observables 1. $A_J$ If you ignore $R_{AA}$ this is not hard





MARTINI without RAA

Higher Twist in box

#### Observables 1. $A_J$ If you ignore $R_{AA}$ this is not hard

T. Renk, Phys. Rev. C 86, 061901 (2012)



#### Observable 2: Fragmentation function!

lost energy ->



#### loss of virtuality



ratio of fragmentation functions with different virtuality





#### Observable 3. Appearance of lost Energy



#### To understand this need to know how jets deposit energy into a medium



G.-Y. Qin, A. Majumder, H. Song and U. Heinz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 152303 (2009)



Rate of energy deposition greater at LHC large part of the jet escapes the medium



Medium dissipates in time, so early energy loss is important



How will all of this look like at an EIC??

#### To understand this need to know how jets deposit energy into a medium



G.-Y. Qin, A. Majumder, H. Song and U. Heinz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 152303 (2009)



Rate of energy deposition greater at LHC large part of the jet escapes the medium



Medium dissipates in time, so early energy loss is important



How will all of this look like at an EIC??

# Getting ahead of the experiment Calculating $\hat{q}$ on the lattice



A Majumder, Phys Rev C 87 034905



800

 $n_{T}=6$ ,  $n_{S}=24$ , q=20GeV

200

400

T (MeV)

600

 $- \Phi < F^{+i} d^0 F^{+i} > /q^{-i}$ 

 $10^{0}$ 

10

10

 $10^{-3}$ 

0

<0>

### Conclusions

Factorization paradigm allows direct comparison between cold and hot matter using JETs

- At few particle level, very good agreement with theory for hard jets
- precision study at EIC will yield more info on transport coefficients
- New physics probed by full jets, not yet completely under control
- May lead to new insights at EIC

Take the extreme limit of a nucleus, A -> inf. and nucleons are very small compared to nucleus



All four gluons from one nucleon: prop. to L Two in one nucleon, two in another: prop. to L<sup>2</sup> 2 n gluon expection ---> n 2 gluon expectation