



Pi0 decay width vs. single gamma energy cut




Energy cut (GeV)	Γ (eV)	stat. error
0.40	8.4611	0.69726E-01
0.45	8.4672	0.70086E-01
0.50	8.4648	0.70056E-01
0.55	8.4742	0.68964E-01
0.60	8.4777	0.70111E-01
0.65	8.4782	0.68705E-01

Pi0 decay width vs. single gamma energy cut




Energy cut (GeV)	Γ (eV)	stat. error
0.40	8.4612	0.70172E-01
0.45	8.4617	0.70037E-01
0.50	8.4648	0.70056E-01
0.55	8.4711	0.69768E-01
0.60	8.4800	0.69930E-01
0.65	8.4920	0.70045E-01

Pi0 decay width vs. Hycal z




Hycal z(cm)	Γ(eV)	stat. error
660	7.6618	0.67123E-01
665	7.7842	0.67023E-01
670	7.7413	0.66026E-01
675	7.7488	0.67569E-01
680	7.7550	0.67780E-01
685.	7.5229	0.65785E-01
690.	7.5678	0.66471E-01
695.	7.6342	0.67269E-01
700.	7.7466	0.68221E-01
705.	7.8556	0.71200E-01
710.	7.7999	0.68828E-01
715.	8.0221	0.70402E-01


Z=685cm



Z=700cm



Z=715cm



The study of scale factor of empty target background

The number of Pi^0 vs. background scale factor (background is subtracted by fitting function)

